



**Passaic County Community Resource Development Funds Review Scoring Sheet**  
**Fiscal Year 2025 (7/1/24-6/30/25)**

**Agency:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Program:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Amount Requested:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Date of Review:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Reviewer:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Total Score:** \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Standard</b>	Scoring Scale: 3: Exceeds expectation of standard 2: Standard met 1: Incomplete/unclear 0: Standard not met					
<b>Needs Statement</b>	<b>Points Possible</b> <b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>Comments</b>
The need that is being targeted is clearly defined and outlined. There is a direct connection to any of the areas identified in the RFP.	/3					
Statement shows agency's clear understanding of CSOC.	/3					
General and specific knowledge of cultural competencies & impact of social determinants of health in Passaic County is evident.	/3					

<b>Total Points Section</b>							( /9)
<b>Description of Agency &amp; History of Service to Youth and Families</b>	<b>Points Possible 6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>Comments</b>	
Agency's mission is keeping with values and mission of Circle of Care.	/3						
Organization has strong connection to the community.	/3						
<b>Total Points Section</b>							( /6)
<b>Description of Service(s) to be funded</b>	<b>Points Possible 18</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>Comments</b>	
Organization has expertise relating to the need to be addressed and proposed service (background, qualifications, organizational structure)	/3						
Targeted population is clearly defined.	/3						
Proposal outlines expected number of families to be served.	/3						
Project activities and services are well defined. Applicant explains how these will address the unmet needs they have identified.	/3						
Access to service/supports is equitable and free of discrimination. Referral process is clear.	/3						
Hours of operation, staffing requirements, and location(s) are clearly outlined.	/3						
<b>Total Points Section</b>							( /18)
<b>Anticipated Outcomes</b>	<b>Points Possible 9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>Comments</b>	
Outcomes expected and methods of measurement are clearly defined.	/3						
Outcomes are reasonable.	/3						
Outcomes are within a specified time frame.	/3						
<b>Total Points Section</b>							( /9)

<b>Sustainability Plan</b>	<b>Points Possible 6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>Comments</b>
There is a clear plan to make service sustainable after initial funding.	/3					
Sustainability plan includes a combination of strategies such as fundraising, donation procurement, leverage of existing community capacity, etc.	/3					
<b>Total Points Section</b>						( /6)
<b>Evaluation Methods</b>	<b>Points Possible 6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Proposal outlines quality assurance efforts.	/3					
Outcomes monitoring plan includes what tools will be used to show improvement or benefit.	/3					
Proposal outlines plans for quarterly performance and financial reporting.	/3					
<b>Total Points Section</b>						( /9)
<b>Budget</b>	<b>Points Possible 12</b>					<b>Comments</b>
Costs are reasonable and specific only to this proposal.	/3					
Adequate justification of costs.	/3					
Funding is used towards direct services for youth.	/3					
Project meets the exclusionary criteria listed in RFP.	/3					
<b>Total Points Section</b>						( /12)
<b>Total Points Possible: 69</b>	Total Points awarded:					